

**From:** Corner, Lisa, CA NAR/US  
**To:** 'Microsoft.atr(a)usdoj.gov'  
**Date:** 1/25/02 10:05am  
**Subject:** Proposed settlement

This is a quick note to say that I totally endorse the open letter composed by Dan Kegel - I am a cosigner! The problem with the proposed settlement is that using the literal interpretation there are more holes in it than in a sieve. What I am trying to say is that as defined by the settlement none of Microsoft's "new" operating systems will be impacted, nor will many of the strong arm tactics that Microsoft employs against OEMs be prohibited nor even discouraged. I can't even begin to describe how the concept of donating hardware and software to the education system (while wonderful in concept) with out proper oversight will hinder Microsoft's competition both open source and proprietary....

As a consumer I am disgusted with the requirement Microsoft has imposed on some pc manufacturers ... If I the consumer do not want the software, why should I have to pay for the privilege of deleting it when I get my new system home?

All in all I feel the justice department has totally missed the boat it's not just internet explorer that is the issue or even icon real estate on the desktop. The real issue is at the core of how Microsoft does business.

Lisa Corner  
Systems/Network Administrator